Interview Summary

Application No. 09/885,221

Applicant(s)

Moorman et al

Examiner

Shailendra Kumar

Art Unit

1621

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Shailendra Kumar	(3) Kathryn Doty
(2) Ed Hejlek	(4)
Date of Interview Jul 1, 2003	-
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	
Claim(s) discussed: all	
Identification of prior art discussed: all	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	
	mply with the sequencing rules, as there is sequence reported
	oject_matter_of_claim_5-will-be-incorporate-into-claim-1. The
examiner suggested that the cliams are still very broad and	
experimentation to find out which compounds would have both the activities as claimed. The examiner advised that he will consider his position after he reviews the amendment.	
The position are position and the position are all the second are all	
	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
	SHAILENDRA KUMAR PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1621
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required